

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/632,902 08/04/2003		08/04/2003	Mao-Ching Chiu	MR929-901	2898
4586	7590	10/17/2006		EXAM	INER.
ROSENBE	•		WANG, TED M		
3458 ELLICOTT CENTER DRIVE-SUITE 101 ELLICOTT CITY, MD 21043				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			2611	

DATE MAILED: 10/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		V			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/632,902	CHIU, MAO-CHING			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ted M. Wang	2611			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	ON. imely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 A</u>	ugust 2003.				
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	•				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 2-14 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o 	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 August 2003</u> is/are:		I to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa	v (PTO-413)			
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/632,902 Page 2

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

□ Page 5, line 20, changes "(10)" to --- (20) ---.

Claim Objections

- 2. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities:
 - Claim 1 is narrative, should be positive, active form of step. For example, it could be rewrite as following --- <u>calculating a fading value of said channel to modify a signal-to-noise ratio of said channel, thereby deriving the link quality of said channel.</u>

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Garrison et al. (US 5,924,015).
 - With regard claim 1, Garrison et al. discloses a satellite based
 telecommunication system with a method of estimating the link quality of a

Application/Control Number: 10/632,902 Page 3

Art Unit: 2611

channel (Fig.1 element 152, column 1 lines 45-50 and column 9 lines 9-13), wherein a fading value of said channel is calculated (column 8 lines 58-63 and column 9 lines 2-4) to modify a signal-to-noise ratio of said channel (Fig.1 element 157 and column 8 lines 48-67) thereby deriving the link quality of said channel (column 9 lines 1-14).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 2-14 are allowed.
- 6. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance.
 - The prior art fails to teach an apparatus of Claims 2 and 9 that specifically comprises the following:
 - -- The instant application is deemed to be directed to a non-obvious improvement over the admitted prior art of the instant application and the invention patented in Pat. No. US 7,076,001, US 5,924,015, and US 2003/0048800. The improvement comprises:

With regard claim 2, "estimating a fading value (F) of said channel based on said estimated subcarrier gain values; and subtracting said fading value (F) from said estimated channel gain value to derive a channel gain measure (A-F), whereby the link quality of said channel is defined as a ratio of the channel gain measure (A-F) to the noise quantity (B)." as recited in combination with other limitation as claimed in claim 2, and

Application/Control Number: 10/632,902 Page 4

Art Unit: 2611

With regard claim 9, "<u>link quality calculating means for calculating the quality of said channel, wherein said link quality calculating means performs a subtraction of said fading value from said estimated channel gain value (A-F) to derive a modified channel gain value, whereby the quality of said channel is defined as a ratio of the modified channel gain value (A-F) to the noise quantity (B)." as recited in combination with other limitation as claimed in claim 9.</u>

Conclusion

- 7. Reference US 2003/0048800 is cited because they are put pertinent to the multistage reception of code division multiple access transmission with link quality determination. However, none of references teach detailed connection as recited in claim.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ted M. Wang whose telephone number is 571-272-3053. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh Fan can be reached on 571-272-3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ted M. Wang

Ted M Wang Examiner Art Unit 2611